

PT4 - Committee Procurement Report

This document is to be used to identify the Procurement Strategy and Purchasing Routes associated with a project and only considers the option recommended on the associated Gateway report.

Introduction

Author:	Michael Harrington		
Project Title:	Decent Homes works to Avondale Square Estate (Phase II)		
Summary of Goods or Services to be sourced			
A structured programme of works to bring the kitchen, bathroom and central heating facilities within 74 tenanted, residential properties up to modern, 'Decent Homes' standards on the Avondale Square Estate.			
Contract Duration:	TBC	Contract Value:	£900,000
Stakeholder information			
Project Lead & Contract Manager: David Downing	Category Manager: Michael Harrington		Lead Department: DCCS Housing
Other Contact		Department	

Specification Overview

Summary of the Specification:
72 tenanted properties on the Avondale Square Estate previously omitted from the prior Decent Homes programme.
Project Objectives: Successful delivery of this project with the least disruption to our tenants as possible.

Customer Requirements

Target completion date	TBC	Target Contract award date	Sept 2017
Are there any time constraints which need to be taken into consideration?			
N/A			
Efficiencies Target with supporting information			
Best VfM possible			

City of London Initiatives

How will the Project meet the City of London's Obligation to
Adhere to the Corporation Social Responsibility:
Yes
Take into account the London Living Wage (LLW):
No
Consideration for Small to Medium Enterprises (SME):
Yes
Other:

Procurement Strategy Options

Option 1: Framework
Advantages to this Option:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compliant Route Set list of suppliers
Disadvantages to this Option:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not opening it up to the market, when available Framework maybe seen as excessive. May not receive a tender response.
Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: No returns coming back.
Option 2: Competitive Tender
Advantages to this Option:

- Opens it up to the market.
- Allows SME's to tender.
- Should allow for a vast array of responses.

Disadvantages to this Option:

- Multiple Responses received.
- No guarantee of the quality specified in the returns

Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Delays due to the programme due to multiple tenders received.

Procurement Strategy Recommendation

City Procurement team recommended option

Option 1 Competitive Tender

Procurement Route Options

Make v buy to be considered; also indicate any discarded or radical options

Option 1: Above OJEU Tender

Advantages to this Option:

- Full EU compliant route
- Opens the project up to Europe

Disadvantages to this Option:

- Maybe seen as excessive route to market.
- Large amount of tenders received.

Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Delays to the programme due to multiple tenders received.

Option 2: Open Tender on Capital eSourcing

Advantages to this Option:

- Opens it up to the market.
- Allows SME's to tender.
- Should allow for a vast array of responses.

Disadvantages to this Option:

- Multiple Responses received.
- No guarantee of the quality specified in the returns

Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Delays to the programme due to multiple tenders received.

Option 3: 3 Competitive Quotes

Advantages to this Option:

- Quick route to market.

Disadvantages to this Option:

- Non-Compliant route.
- Not all tenderers may not respond

Please highlight any possible risks associated with this option: Non-Compliant route

Procurement Route Recommendation

City Procurement team recommended option

Option 2 - Open Tender on Capital eSourcing

Sign Off

Date of Report:	21/02/2017
Reviewed By:	David Downing
Department:	DCCS – Housing
Reviewed By:	Michael Harrington
Department:	Chamberlain's Department